Argument in Favor of Measure V November 2, 2004 Saratoga, CA



REGISTRAR OF VOTERS COUNTY OF SANDA CLARA

Saratogans have long enjoyed a Quality of Life that sets our City apart from many other cities and has produced one of the highest levels of property value in the State. Continued State budget raids threaten our quality of life.

Since 1992, funding raids by the State of California and the sunset of the prior Utility Tax have reduced City funding by over \$18 million. At the C same time, rising costs continue to strain our City budget despite budget reductions of 20% from two years ago. This year, for the first time, reserve funds were required to fund critical services.

It's not too late to reverse this downward spiral. We can come together and vote YES on Measure V, which will assess a tax of only 4% on electricity, gas, water, phone, and cable services. This local levy generates funds 40 beyond the State's reach, is consumption based, expires in 10 years, and includes the standard exemptions for qualifying low-income residents. For a cost of \$16 a month for the average household, we can stop the deterioration of our streets, bridges, sidewalks, medians, buildings and 55 parks, and maintain or improve current levels of public safety.

Will our City survive if this tax doesn't pass? Yes, but it may not retain the same unique character that we currently enjoy. Without an increase in revenues the City will experience:

- Reduction in the current level of law enforcement services
- Continued deterioration of streets, medians, bridges and buildings
- Loss of city programs and services such as park maintenance

The Finance Commission and an independent citizens committee recently reviewed city finances and unanimously recommended this measure be placed on the ballot.

If you want to protect your property values and quality of life, then Vote YES on Measure V. Visit www.savesaratoga.com.



SANTA CLARA COUNTY

AUG 1 1 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS REGISTRAR OF VOTEASC 1 1 2004

ARGUMENT By—DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)

(Elections Code § 9600)

		. /
The un	ndersigned author(s) of the argument(in favor)of/against	t ballot measure at the
Cen	election for the	(letter) NOF Saratoga
	(title of election)	(name of jurisdiction)
to be h		at such argument is true and correct to the best
	(date of election)	
of	knowledge and belief.	
	(his / her / their)	
1.	8-9-34	-
	Signature Signature Segretary	Residential Address
	Mayor	Ann Waltonsmith dende
	Mayor Title to Appear on Argument	Print Name as Signed Male/Female
2.	· lag lost	1
۷.	Signature // Date	Residential Address J 95070
	Vice Mayor	
	Vice Mayor Title to Appear on Argument	Kathleen M King Female Print Name as Signed Male/Female
3.	dalar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Signature Date	Residential Address
(174 Coudeilmenser	NICIC STARIT M
	Title to Appear on Argumant	Print Name as Signed Male/Female
4.	2/9/04	<u>^</u>
	Signature Date	Residential Address
	City Council monber	NORMAN Kline Male
	Title to Appear on Argument	Print Name as Signed Male/Female
5.	- 8/9/04	•
	Signature Date	Residential Address
	CHAIRMAN FINANCE COMMISSION	DICK ALLEN MALE
	Title to Appear on Argument REVENUE REVIEW Smm LTTER WENGER	Print Name as Signed Male/Female
	I CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	

Argument Against Measure (City of Saratoga, November 2, 2004 Election)

The Utility Tax is the WRONG measure for Saratoga. There is no way to ensure that the money will be spent as promised. The advisory measure which accompanies this tax is NON-BINDING on the City Council, and funds generated by this tax could be spent by future city councils on pet projects for special interests. In addition, there is NOTHING in the measure that restricts a council from spending the revenue on day-to-day operations instead of infrastructure, and NO INCENTIVE to establish prudent reserves and run an efficient organization. This is precisely the problem with the state government that resulted in the costly bailout by the taxpayers earlier this year.

This tax applies to all utilities, including cellular phone service. It is not unreasonable to predict that on an average, the tax will cost each household in the city an additional \$300-400 a year. At a 4% rate, it will raise at least \$2.6 million annually in additional revenue for the city. With current revenues of a little over \$8 million, this amounts to a 33% increase in revenue. Are Saratogans prepared to build up the city bureaucracy by one-third?

Insist on City fiscal responsibility. Vote NO on Measure V.

204

82.



REGISTRAR OF VOTERS COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA Deputy

AUG 11 2004



SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) (Elections Code § 9600)

	(circle one)	(letter)	
GENERAL	election for the CITY (OF SADLATOGA	
(title of election)		(name of jurisdiction)	
	004 hereby state the	at such argument is true and	
(date of elec			correctio are best
	knowledge and belief.		
(his / her/ their)	Transitional designation of the second		
(mo) non dion)			
	8/10/04	7	in en Sedicina a Lingui de .
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
COUNCELMEMBER	FORMER MAYOR	STAN BOGOST	AN _
Title to Appear on Argume	int	Print Name as Signed	Malc/Fornale
	£/6/04		
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
Chain was Sanaloga Goo	I Comment Comme		
Title to Appear on Argume		ANDREW BARNES Print Name as Signed	Male/Female:
			Ingle Leiligie:
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
		1703/06/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/	
Title to Appear on Argume	nt'	Figure 81	
And is tableed on wildering	Maria de la compansión de Compansión de la compansión	Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
			and the second s
Title to Appear on Argume	nt:	Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
Title to Appear on Argume	nt .	Orlet Name on China	4 A N
		Print Name as Signed	Male/Female