



SANTA CLARA COUNTY  
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

FILED

AUG 19 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS  
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT  
DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)  
(Elections Code § 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of/against ballot measure U at the \_\_\_\_\_ (letter)

Special election for the Saratoga \_\_\_\_\_ (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on 11/2/04 \_\_\_\_\_ (date of election) hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best

of their knowledge and belief. \_\_\_\_\_ (his / her / their)

1. \_\_\_\_\_ 8-16-04  
Signature Date

Mayor  
Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_ Residential Address

Ann Waltons Smith Female  
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

2. \_\_\_\_\_ 8/16/04  
Signature Date

Vice Mayor  
Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_ Residential Address

Kathleen M. King Female  
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

3. \_\_\_\_\_ 8/14/04  
Signature Date

City Council Member  
Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_ Residential Address

Norman Kline M  
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

4. \_\_\_\_\_ 8/16/04  
Signature Date

City Council Member  
Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_ Residential Address

Nick Streit (Male) Female  
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

5. \_\_\_\_\_ 8/16/04  
Signature Date

CHAIRMAN, FINANCE COMMISSION  
Title to Appear on Argument  
REVENUE REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBER

\_\_\_\_\_ Residential Address

DICK ALLEN MALE  
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

Rebuttal to Opposing Argument for Measure U  
November 2, 2004  
Saratoga, CA

FILED

AUG 19 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS  
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By J Deputy

Our opponents argue that Measure U is "not legally binding" on the City Council. They are correct. *That is why it is called an "advisory measure"!*

26  
27

They argue that even if Measure U passes, the Council might use the funds for purposes other than repair and maintenance of streets, bridges, sidewalks, medians, buildings and parks, and to maintain or improve current levels of public safety. Why would the Council do that if this advisory vote is clear? And if the Council were going to do that, why place an advisory measure on the ballot in the first place?

26  
72  
46

Years ago there was an advisory vote strongly in favor of term limits for Saratoga Council. Since then, no Council member has violated that advisory measure although it was not "legally binding."

32  
32

The opponents' argument is illogical. They oppose the UUT not because Saratoga's streets and infrastructure don't need repair and maintenance but because they are afraid the Council will spend the money on other things ("pet projects"). If true, then they should be arguing for a "YES" vote on this advisory measure so if the utility tax passes, there will at least be a clear message from voters that Council use the money as promised.

24  
74  
32  
18

- Saratoga needs Measure V, the utility tax.
- Saratoga needs to spend the UUT money on repair and maintenance of streets, bridges, sidewalks, medians, buildings and parks, and to maintain or improve current levels of public safety.

19  
36  
17  
8

PLEASE VOTE YES ON MEASURE U. Visit [www.savesaratoga.com](http://www.savesaratoga.com).

8

248

AUG 18 2004

OB

249