## **ARGUMENT / REBUTTAL FORM**

(Elections Code § 9160 - 9167, 9501 - 9504)	
ELECTION DATE: November 2, 2004 MEASURE:	
JURISDICTION: CITY OF MILPITAS	
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR   REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST	
ARGUMENT AGAINST 🗆 REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR 💢	
INSTRUCTIONS: (1) ARGUMENTS ARE LIMITED TO 300 WORDS AND REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS ARE LIMITED TO 300 WORDS. (2) Text is printed in standard paragraph form with each paragraph beginning flush left on with she alanged windentation. Paragraphs must be single-spaced. (3) Only standard bullets (4) will be printed. Non-standard bullets will be changed to standard bullets. (4) Use of a FIXED FONT (i.e. Couner 10 pt) is necessary for scanding acquired. (5) Alastements should be thoroughly checked by the author prior to submission, as edits are not permitted. (6) Modifications to format are at the discretion of the Registrar of Volers.	
20 DO NOT BE DECEIVED! It's obvious; Measure T is about Trish Dixon's only special interest – getting reelected at any cost.	20
This year, the Fremont hillside developer who built monster homes in the hills, and his associates, appear to have given Dixon nearly half of her contributions (460 report, 6/30/04). She says, save the hills, but shares in developer profits by accepting	28
maximum contributions from this same hillside developer who was fined in the past for campaign money laundering [FPPC Case SI-91/376(1993)].	60 34
Councilwoman Dixon voted to change the hillside ordinance, allowing a developer's lobbyist to build two homes on his property. She said it was a "win-win." (Post, 5/8/97) The developer (Town Center owner) has been a contributor to Dixon.	39 39
Measure T is costly and divisive. If a homeowner needs a minor adjustment to the ordinance to widen a driveway, they can gather the minimum signatures and call for a special election. Based on published charges, Milpitas taxpayers may pay \$250,000.00 per election.	43 4 <u>3</u>
Measure T is political. Why else would candidate Dixon hastily place Measure T on the ballot and vote to ensure she signed the ballot statement? During the 7/29/2004 Council meeting, Althea Polanski admitted, "I think everything we do is political."	40 40
Vote NO and nothing changes. Any future council wanting to change the ordinance will know they can be recalled. That is true voter protection of the hillsides.	27

Vote NO to political self-interest dividing Milpitas Vote NO to many possible \$250,000.00 special elections Vote NO on Measure T

254

UTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE TO TO TO THE



## SANTA CLARA COUNTY **REGISTRAR OF VOTERS**

## **REBUTTAL ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)**

(Elections Code § 9600)



e undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to	the argumen in	favor of agranst ballot measi	ure at the
1		(circle one)	(letter)
genera election for	the CITY	OF MILPITAS	(101101)
(title of election)		(name of jurisdiction)	
e held on Nov. 2, 2004	hereby state t	hat such argument is true and	correct to the heat
(date of election)	<b>-</b>	and and and	conect to the best
knowledg	e and belief.		
(his / her / their)			
_			
Signature TREASURER	Date	Residential Address	
CITIZENS FOR A BETTER	MIL DITAS	RICHARD R	
Title to Appear on Argument		Print Name as Signed	Male Female
		Ť	OTTAIN OTTAIN
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
Title to Appear on Argument		Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
Title to Appear on Argument		Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
		•	William William
Signature	Date	Residential Address	
Title to Appear on Argument		Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
		<b>V</b> -	Ciliate
Signature	Date	Residential Address	_
THE		·	
Title to Appear on Argument		Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
	11	<b>~</b>	maion oniale