

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY MEASURE N

The issues aren't education's importance or Sacramento's budget cuts. The issues are truth and fairness.

Proposition 98 guaranteed that 40% of California's general funds be spent on public education. According to the Governor's budget, statewide education spending/student continues to increase! **The "crisis" isn't REVENUE; it's SPENDING!**

- Property taxes **already** fund Evergreen Elementary School District.
- Additionally, homeowners are **STILL** repaying the following District infrastructure bonds: 1989 - \$35,000,000; and 1997 - \$60,000,000.
- Last March, Proposition 55 authorized \$12,300,000,000 **more** to relieve overcrowding/repair older K-12 schools!

Why **another** tax that only property owners pay?

Homeowners are on a property taxing merry-go-round. How many different "creatively labeled" property taxes are necessary? When is enough enough? When do tenants and exempted seniors (Evergreen Elementary School District offers seniors exemptions for votes) pay their fair share?

Tenants and senior homeowners (52% of the electorate) **escape** taxation altogether!

To the District "the ends justify the means." Only residents vote, whether or not they are homeowners. It's unfair for the majority who **WON'T** be paying Measure N to vote for more property taxes!

History demonstrates Evergreen Elementary School District repeatedly taxes homeowners **ONLY!**

Tax **EVERYONE** (the cost/resident would be dramatically less) or **NO ONE!**

Measure N:

- Increases everyone's living costs.
- Makes the home ownership dream even more unattainable.

Your **NO** vote on Measure N doesn't mean you are against schools, it means you are for wise spending.

For more information see www.VoteNoOnN.us

FILED

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By _____ Deputy

227



SANTA CLARA COUNTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
By _____ Deputy

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT
DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)
(Elections Code § 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of against ballot measure N at the
(circle one) (letter)
General special election for the Evergreen School District
(title of election) (name of jurisdiction)
to be held on 11/2/04 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best
(date of election)
of their knowledge and belief.
(his / her / their)

1. Signature _____ Date 8-7-04 Residential Address _____
Chair, Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County Allen Hacker m
Title to Appear on Argument Print Name as Signed Male/Female
2. Signature _____ Date 8/7/04 Residential Address _____
President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers' Association Dennis Umphress
Title to Appear on Argument Print Name as Signed Male Female
3. Signature _____ Date 8/11/04 Residential Address _____
Silicon Valley Taxpayers' Association NACK E. DAVIS
Title to Appear on Argument Print Name as Signed Male Female
4. Signature _____ Date 8/16/04 Residential Address _____
Board Member, Silicon Valley Taxpayers' Assn. Elizabeth C. Brierly
Title to Appear on Argument Print Name as Signed Male Female
5. Signature _____ Date _____ Residential Address _____
Title to Appear on Argument Print Name as Signed _____ Male/Female

11

I, Allen Hacker, bona fide association member, am
also The Filer of This argument.