

SANTA CLARA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Election Day: November 2, 2004

REBUTTAL
To Ballot Argument Against Measure J

The Libertarian Party opponents who submitted the argument against Measure J make irrelevant statements ~~that don't have anything~~ ^{which} to do with Measure J, Santa Clara Unified School District and our local community. ^{nothing} N.D.

28
28
4

Here are the facts:

Measure J will complete the renovation of all our local schools by upgrading Santa Clara Unified middle schools, high schools and adult education facilities.

23
27

Phase One of the school district's plan—Measure B, approved by local voters seven years ago—authorized the rehabilitation of Santa Clara Unified elementary schools. These renovations were completed on time and on budget.

33
33

By law, Measure J funds may only be spent on repairs and upgrades listed on the official Measure J Project List. There are no frills, no expensive wish lists, no blank checks.

32
32

Measure J funds cannot be used for administrative salaries or bureaucracy. Annual audits and an independent Citizens Oversight Committee are required to ensure compliance.

24
24

California law requires local funding in order to qualify for State matching funds. Passage of Measure J will qualify our schools for up to \$27, ^{500,000} million, reducing the cost of upgrades to local taxpayers. Without Measure J, these funds will go to other school districts.

45
45

All Measure J funds will stay in our community, benefiting local students and schools.

14
14

Quality schools help protect everyone's property values. That's why Measure J is supported by seniors, homeowners, business leaders and local taxpayers.

21
21

Don't be persuaded by arguments that miss the point of Measure J all together. Measure J is a responsible measure to fix our schools.

24

Vote YES on J.

28 4

Word count: 250

FILED

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By _____ Deputy

252
250



SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) (Elections Code § 9600)

FILED

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA By [Signature] Deputy

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of against ballot measure I at the Special election for the Santa Clara Unified School District to be held on November 2, 2004 of Their knowledge and belief.

- 1. Signature: [Signature], Date: 8/13/04, Residential Address: [Address], Title: Parent/Chief of Police, Print Name: STEPHEN D. LODGE, Gender: Male.
2. Signature: [Signature], Date: 8/17, Residential Address: [Address], Title: Retired Educator/Community Leader, Print Name: DON CALLEJON, Gender: Male.
3. Signature: [Signature], Date: [Date], Residential Address: [Address], Title: Community Volunteer/Historian, Print Name: Bea Lichtenstein, Gender: Male.
4. Signature: [Signature], Date: 8/17/04, Residential Address: [Address], Title: City Council Member, Print Name: PATRICK KOLSTAD, Gender: Male.
5. Signature: [Signature], Date: 8/18/04, Residential Address: [Address], Title: Santa Clara Unified Council PTA President, Print Name: Jo-Ann Birdsall, Gender: Female.