



SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) (Elections Code § 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of/against ballot measure J at the General special election for the Santa Clara Unified School District to be held on 11/2/04 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.

- 1. Alabbah 8-7-04 367 Loreto St Mt View 94041
2. Chair, Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County, Allen Hacker M 580 Mountain Home Dr. San Jose 95136
3. President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers' Association, Dennis Umphress Male 1702 Vintner Way, Sd. 95120
4. Businessman, Jack Davis Male 2527 Easton Lane No. 6 SJ 95133
5. Elizabeth C. Brierty Male

FILED AUG 11 2004

**SANTA CLARA COUNTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS**

Submission of Argument

Elections Code § 9501 states that the governing board of the district or any member or members of the board authorized by the board, or any individual voter who is eligible to vote on the measure, or bona fide association of citizens, or any combination of such voters and associations may file a written argument for or against any school measure.

Elections Code § 9501.5 states that a ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by the printed name and signature or printed names and signatures of the person or persons submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide organization, the name of the organization and printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Place a check mark in the appropriate box below indicating which category qualifies you to submit this argument, complete the election information, and the appropriate section(s) as instructed.

- Governing board of the district or any member or members of the board authorized by the board. (Complete Section 1 and 3 below)
- Bona fide association of citizens. (Complete Section 1 and 3 below)
- Individual voter who is eligible to vote on the measure. (Complete Section 2 and 3 below)
- Combination of voter and bona fide associations. (Complete Section 1, 2 and 3 below)

11/2/04 Election Date Santa Clara Unified School District Jurisdiction Against Argument in Favor or Against

Section 1 – Bona Fide Association Submitting

Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County
Name of Organization

[Signature]
Signature of Principal Officer

Allen Hacker
Printed Name

Section 2 – Eligible Voter(s) Submitting

~~[Signature]~~ JACK DAVIS
Signature/Printed Name

~~1702 Vintner Way S, 95724~~
Residential Address

Signature/Printed Name

Residential Address

Signature/Printed Name

Residential Address

Section 3 – Contact Information for Filer

MARK HINKLE
Name

17545 CHESBRO LAKE DRIVE
Street Address

408-778-2444 Telephone# 408-778-1115 Fax #

Morgan Hill City CA State 95037 Zip Code

FILED
AUG 11 2004
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
By [Signature] Deputy



Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County

P. O. Box 60171
Sunnyvale, California 94088-0171
(408) 243-2711
<http://www.sc.ca.lp.org>

"That government is best which governs least" — Thomas Jefferson

I, Allen Hacker, Chair of the Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County, authorize any of the below named people to sign as author, or co-author, of ballot arguments submitted by the Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County in the jurisdiction Santa Clara Unified School District for the November 2, 2004 General Election

Allen Hacker

8-7-04

Allen Hacker
Dennis Umphress
Jack Davis
Elizabeth Brierly
Mark Hinkle
Michael Laursen
Zander Collier
Ray Strong
Allen Rice
Kevin Takenaga
Aaron Katz

FILED

AUG 11 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By [Signature] Deputy

If taxpayers vote money without demanding accountability, they will only get more wasteful spending and low performance. If Silicon Valley companies had raised their expenses every year and attained just fifteenth rank in the world, they would drive our valley out of business and drive everyone to ruin. Yet our public schools are primarily responsible for our fifteenth rank in the TIMMS tests. "More money" they always beg, yet they don't give results to prove that this money is well spent.

3:
4,

Rather than giving them a blank check and a blanket endorsement of their misbehavior, we should tell our state servants to consider reforms that save considerable money: hiring more affordable non-union workers and teachers—especially highly qualified teachers with advanced degrees, firing incompetent teachers more easily, bidding freely on maintenance services, etc. These are not politically-correct reforms, but they would improve school performance while saving costs.

3:
34,

The greatest savings, of course, would come if we gave responsibility for our children's future back to the parents who brought these children into the world. Educational choice would lower costs by letting parents bid for school management that gives better results for lower costs. Simultaneously, it would increase satisfaction by letting parents choose the education they most want: Catholic, Protestant, Jewish, secular college prep, gifted, etc. As Americans, we should know that one size does not fit all.

35
43

Bonds disguise the costs of government. If this is regular spending, why not be responsible enough to call for increased taxation—and lower interest costs—rather than use bond spending for things the district should just do with its regular funds. Vote NO: tell the politicians to offer more freedom if they demand more funding.

53

For more information visit www.VoteNoOnJ.us

5

Argument Against Measure J
Santa Clara Unified School District

110 CH
23 LINE
287 WOP.

FILED

AUG 11 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By [Signature] Deputy