

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY MEASURE G

To San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, "the ends justify the means." To voters it's truth, fairness, and responsibility.

8
6

The District **HASN'T** disclosed:

4

- Bond repayments represent **another** property tax. 6
- Although the "tax rate" won't increase, your property taxes **WILL!** 10
- Homeowners are **STILL** repaying **\$135,750,000** of San Jose-Evergreen Community College District's infrastructure bonds. 9
- Last March, Proposition 55 authorized \$12,300,000,000 **more** to relieve overcrowding/repair older community colleges. 9
6
- With underwriting and servicing, Measure G's **real cost** is \$550,000,000. Comparable "special" taxes would cost \$185,000,000. 11
5
- Measure G requires 55% voter approval. "Special" taxes require 66-2/3%. San Jose-Evergreen Community College District has called a bond election **even though it wastes \$365,000,000!** 11
10
- Nonresident graduates (20% **AREN'T** citizens) do not benefit our community because they take their new job skills **elsewhere!** 12
6
- Only residents vote, whether or not they are homeowners. It's unfair for tenants (41% of the electorate) who **WON'T** be repaying these bonds to vote for more property taxes! 14
15

Responsible public stewards budget capital reserves! Whenever facility repairs/improvements become necessary, San Jose-Evergreen Community College District requests **MORE** from homeowners because **it has no reserves for this!** With property taxes plus millions in bonds, the District **shouldn't be rewarded for irresponsibility!**

8
5
14
11

Measure G:

2

- Adversely affects those on fixed incomes who can least afford it. 11
- Taxes homeowners with higher assessed valuations. 6
- Increases everyone's living costs. These taxes may be "passed on" to tenants. 12
- Makes home ownership more unattainable. 5

Tax judiciously or not at all. Tax everyone or no one.

11

For more information see www.VoteNoOnG.us

5

232

F I L E D

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By *JB* Deputy



SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

FILED

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA By [Signature] Deputy

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) (Elections Code § 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of / against ballot measure G at the General special election for the San Jose - Evergreen Community College District to be held on 11/2/04 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.

- 1. Signature: [Signature], Date: 8-7-04, Residential Address: [Address], Title to Appear on Argument: Chair, Libertarian Party of Santa Clara County, Print Name as Signed: Allen Hacker, Male/Female: M
2. Signature: [Signature], Date: 8/7/04, Residential Address: [Address], Title to Appear on Argument: President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers' Association, Print Name as Signed: Dennis [Name], Male/Female: M
3. Signature: [Signature], Date: 8/11/04, Residential Address: [Address], Title to Appear on Argument: Silicon Valley Taxpayers' Association, Print Name as Signed: Jack E. Davis, Male/Female: M
4. Signature: [Signature], Date: Aug 16, 2004, Residential Address: [Address], Title to Appear on Argument: Board Member, Silicon Valley Taxpayers' Assn., Print Name as Signed: Elizabeth C. Brierty, Male/Female: F
5. Signature: _____, Date: _____, Residential Address: _____, Title to Appear on Argument: _____, Print Name as Signed: _____, Male/Female: _____

I, Allen Hacker, BonaFide association member, am also the filer of this argument.