

ARGUMENT / REBUTTAL FORM

(Elections Code § 9160 – 9167, 9501 – 9504)

ELECTION DATE: November 2, 2004

MEASURE: C

JURISDICTION: County of Santa Clara

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR ☐

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST ☐

ARGUMENT AGAINST ☐

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR ☒

INSTRUCTIONS: (1) ARGUMENTS ARE LIMITED TO 300 WORDS AND REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS ARE LIMITED TO 250 WORDS. (2) Text is printed in standard paragraph form with each paragraph beginning flush left or with the standard indentation. Paragraphs must be single spaced. (3) Only standard bullets (•) will be printed. Non-standard bullets will be changed to standard bullets. (4) Use of a FIXED FONT (i.e. Courier 10 pt) is necessary for scanning accuracy. (5) All statements should be thoroughly checked by the author prior to submission, as edits are not permitted after the deadline. (6) Modifications to format are at the discretion of the Registrar of Voters.

Here's what the government lawyers and guards who paid to put Measure C on the ballot aren't telling you about the so-called "benefits" of Measure C.

Current law already requires good faith negotiations and requires county employees who are essential to public health and safety to stay on the job during a labor dispute.

Measure C is a cynical attempt by the lawyers and guards to sweeten their pay and benefits at the expense of the rest of us who live and work in Santa Clara County.

At a time when our state is facing huge budget deficits, Measure C could result in wasteful, irresponsible spending that puts our critical services at risk. Sheriff patrols, health inspectors, emergency rooms, parks, seniors, and children could be cut to benefit a small group of lawyers and guards.

Measure C takes away our voice in how our tax dollars are spent.

Measure C strips control over the county budget from our elected representatives and hands it to a private panel that is not elected, not accountable to the voters, and that has no obligation to do what's in our interest as county residents. We won't even know the names of the people on the panel until long after the election.

Measure C is opposed by a broad coalition.

Groups that usually don't agree with each other have come together to oppose Measure C. Nurses, law enforcement, working families, taxpayers, and five county supervisors all agree...

Vote NO on Measure C.

FILED
AUG 05 2004

AUG 10 2004
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By _____
Deputy

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

236



SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

#1

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) (Elections Code § 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of ~~against~~ ballot measure C at the Special ~~General~~ election for the County of Santa Clara (circle one) (letter)
(title of election) (name of jurisdiction)
to be held on November 2, 2004 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best
(date of election)
of them knowledge and belief.
(his / her / their)

1. Signature [Signature] Date 8/17/04
Secretary, California Nurses Association
Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address
MALINDA MARKOWITZ
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

2. Signature _____ Date _____
Title to Appear on Argument _____

Residential Address _____
Print Name as Signed _____ Male/Female

3. Signature _____ Date _____
Title to Appear on Argument _____

Residential Address _____
Print Name as Signed _____ Male/Female

4. Signature _____ Date _____
Title to Appear on Argument _____

Residential Address _____
Print Name as Signed _____ Male/Female

5. Signature _____ Date _____
Title to Appear on Argument _____

Residential Address _____
Print Name as Signed _____ Male/Female

FILED

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By _____ Deputy



SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

FILED

AUG 18 2004

(D #3)

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)

(Elections Code § 9600)

 REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

Deputy

 The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of against ballot measure C at the

(circle one)

(letter)

Special General election for the County of Santa Clara

(title of election)

(name of jurisdiction)

 to be held on November 2, 2004 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best

(date of election)

 of them knowledge and belief.

(his / her / their)

Signature

Date

Executive Officer, South Bay
Title to Appear on Argument AFL-CIO Labor Council

8/18/04

Residential Address

Phaedra Ellis-Lamkins (Female)
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

2.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female

3.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female

4.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female

5.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female



SANTA CLARA COUNTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

#4

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT
DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)
(Elections Code § 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of ~~against~~ ballot measure C at the
(Circle one) (letter)

Special election for the County of Santa Clara
(title of election) (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on November 2, 2004 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best
(date of election)

of their knowledge and belief.
(his / her / their)

(4)
Signature

Date

Residential Address

President, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

Title to Appear on Argument

Jon Coupal

Print Name as Signed

Male Female

FILED

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR
Deputy

FILED

AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By [Signature] Deputy



SANTA CLARA COUNTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

FILE
AUG 18 2004

15

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT
DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)
(Elections Code § 9600)

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
Deputy

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of against ballot measure C at the

Special
General

election for the

County of Santa Clara

(title of election)

(name of jurisdiction)

to be held on November 2, 2004 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best

(date of election)

of their knowledge and belief.

(his / her / their)

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Executive Secretary, Service Employees International Union
Local 715

Title to Appear on Argument

Kristina M. Sermersheim

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female

2.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female

3.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female

4.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female

5.

Signature

Date

Residential Address

Title to Appear on Argument

Print Name as Signed

Male/Female



SANTA CLARA COUNTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

#2

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT
DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)
(Elections Code § 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the argument in favor of/against ballot measure C at the
(Circle one) (letter)

Special election for the County of Santa Clara
(title of election) (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on November 2, 2004 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best
(date of election)

of their knowledge and belief.
(his / her / their)

2

[Signature] 8-18-4
Signature Date

Sheriff, Santa Clara County
Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address

Laurie Smith F
Print Name as Signed Male/Female

FILED
AUG 18 2004

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
By [Signature] Deputy