Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure B

Measure B takes away the fairness and equity for nurses, correctional peace officers and prosecutors that Measure C would give them. Sound confusing? It is. Your County Supervisors want it that way.

A few questions you should ask about Measure B:

- 1. Why aren't the Supervisors telling you about the millions of taxpayer dollars that will be wasted in additional election costs if Measure B passes?
- 2. Why didn't the Supervisors tell you that Measure C was placed on the ballot by 59,000 County voters, 1,200 Registered Nurses and over 750 Correctional Peace Officers?
- 3. Why didn't the Supervisors tell you that the arbitration provision in Measure C is used successfully in over 20 California jurisdictions including San Jose, Gilroy, and Palo Alto to prevent strikes and resolve contract disputes?
- 4. Why didn't the Supervisors tell you that contract disputes could drag out up to two years if Measure B passes?
- 5. Why didn't the Supervisors tell you that Measure C's Arbitration provision <u>requires</u> the arbitrator to consider the county's fiscal condition when making a decision?

With politicians, what they don't say is often more important than what they do say.

Measure B will cost the taxpayers millions and prolong labor disputes with our most critical public health and safety employees. It only allows one side to appeal an arbitrator's decision, taking away the level playing field that arbitration provides both parties.

Please join your nurses, correctional peace officers and prosecutors in VOTING NO ON MEASURE B.

235

10

9

19 305 11

1085

110

)19 5

AUG 1 8 2004

By ______Deputy





SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
y______Deputy

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S)

(Elections Code § 9600)

The u	ndersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to the	he argument in f	avor of/against ballot mea	sure <u>B</u> at the
			(circle one)	(letter)
	De cialelection for the	ne (Oun	ty of Santa	Clara
,	(title of election)		/ (name of jurisdiction)	
to be i	held on November 2, 2004	_ hereby state th	at such argument is true ar	nd correct to the best
	(date of election)	- •	· ·	
of	the N knowledge	and belief.		
	(his / her / their)			
	.)	4		
	Signature // /	Date	Residential Aduless	
40,	Silvy Rechard Manage to [ESSIONAL AS	1. ()	Knight Frank
1700	Title to Appear on Argument	FSSIGNAC, 10	Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
0	a/	alas.	-	_
2.	Signature	Date	- Residential Address	
,	PALSIDENT SANTA CLAMA COMPY PEACL CHICENS ASSOCIATION - EVENETT BIZGERAID MALE			
	Title to Appear on Argument	UTICONS ASSOCIA	Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
3.	_	8/18/04	• ^ -	-
	Signature	Date	Residential Address	
	PROSECUTOR, SANTA CLARA C	OVER	JAMES SHORE	MALE
	Title to Appear on Argument		Print Name as Signed	
	,			
4.	Signature	Date	Residential Address	
	Title to Appear on Argument		Print Name as Signed	Male/Female
_	.,			
5.	Signature	 Date	Residential Address	
	Title to Appear on Argument	<u> </u>	Print Name as Signed	Male/Female